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Giorgio Provenza
Chief Executive
English Bridge Education \& Development, Registered Charity No: 1153543



| A AJ84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Q72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * AQ9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A K109 |  |  | Q |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J |  |  | A8 |  |  |  |
| -9865 |  |  | AK |  |  |  |
| ¢K8763 |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |
|  | A 752 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K10954 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J107 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& J10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | ake | ble | con | ra |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | NT |
| 15 | N | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| $7 \quad 13$ | S | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | E | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | W | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |


| ^A72 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - KQJ1097 |  |
| - KJ8 |  |
| \& 10 |  |
| A QJ10954 | A 86 |
| $\checkmark 3$ | $\checkmark$ A8652 |
| - 104 | - 965 |
| \& AK63 | \&) 75 |
| ¢ K3 |  |
| $\checkmark 4$ |  |
| - AQ732 |  |
| \& Q9842 |  |


| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | - | $\checkmark$ | $\wedge$ | NT |
| 14 | N | 2 | 5 | 5 | - | 3 |
| 105 | S | 2 | 5 | 5 | - | 4 |
| 11 | E | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | W | - | - | - | 1 | - |

- Q106
- QJ106
\&98652

| A 9873 | - Q1054 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ AJ9543 | - K72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 3 | - A5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& K3 | ¢J1074 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A AKJ6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K98742 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * AQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8} \begin{array}{ccc}5 & \\ & & 10 \\ & 17 & \end{array}$ |  | $\because$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | NT |
|  | N | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
|  | S | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
|  | E | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
|  | W | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |

Board 8 : Dealer West : Love all

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1 |
| $1 \downarrow$ | 2 | $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ |
| $3 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

A competitive part-score deal with many possible auctions and consequently many possible results. The textbooks say that you shouldn't open hands such as West's with a weak $2 \downarrow$ - because of the four-card spade suit - but I'm willing to bet that a number of Wests make a bonfire of such textbooks and open $2 \vee$ (or a multi $2 \downarrow$ ) tonight.

If West does open $2 \downarrow$, East should raise the ante to $3 \vee$, giving South problems. That player might double and remove North's $4 *$ to $4 \diamond$, landing on a pinhead. Or, just possibly, North might Pass the take-out double and defend $3 \checkmark$ doubled for one down. Quite a few will play in the reasonable $5 \checkmark$ down one, I suspect, beaten only because the club finesse fails.

| $\rightarrow$ A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - KJ1097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * J1042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K74 <br> $\checkmark$ KQ106 | - 1098632 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 432 | - Q6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& KQ5 | \& 63 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - QJ5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 985$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - A85 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& A987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | ak | ble | con | rac |  |
|  |  | $\because$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\wedge$ | NT |
| 13 | N | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 |
| $13 \begin{array}{lll}13 & & 3 \\ & 11\end{array}$ | S | 4 | 4 | 2 | - | 3 |
|  | E | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | W | - | - | - | 1 | - |


| Board 9 : | Dealer North : EW vulnerable |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | $1 *$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $3 \&$ | All Pass |  |

North-South should probably play this one in a nice simple club part-score, reached after the bidding above. $3 \%$ isn't entirely safe after a heart lead (unlikely from that East hand) as declarer is in danger of losing two hearts, a diamond and two trumps. However, there are ways of getting rid of a heart loser after the $\$ 10$ lead and, of course, declarer can always guess the diamonds correctly.

I suspect that many will reach 3NT on this hand by some route or another. Deep Finesse smugly points out that it can make, but then Deep Finesse can see that the $\diamond$ Q drops doubleton. If declarer (be that North or South) takes the normal line of finessing through West, then the game will surely fail, maybe by two tricks.

|  | かJ53 |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $\vee$ AJ54 |
|  | J4 |
|  | $\star$ K1096 |


| ^Q987 | - K1042 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 93$ | - 102 |
| - K10876 | - Q953 |
| ¢ 18 | * 543 |

A A6
$\checkmark$ KQ876

- A2
*AQ72

| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | - | $\checkmark$ | A | NT |
| 10 | N | 6 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| 65 | S | 6 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| 19 | E | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | W | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| A KQ1092 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K1042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * A3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 8763 | A A4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A2 | $\checkmark 10975$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AQ7 | - 85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| * 10742 | *KQJ85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AJ5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - KJ643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \&96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\wedge$ | NT |
| 14 | N | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - |
| $10 \quad{ }_{6}^{10}$ | S | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - |
|  | E | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | W | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |



Board 10 : Dealer East : All vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 17 |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4V | All Pa |  |

Well, the computer has dished up a near unbiddable hand. 6\% on the 4-4 fit makes as trumps are 3-2; declarer can ditch a diamond loser from dummy on the fifth heart and obtain a ruff in dummy as the twelfth trick. Meanwhile, there are two certain losers if the hand is played in hearts. Trap hands like this were a regular feature of Bidding Challenges in 1970s and 1980s Bridge Magazines; it has taken nigh on 40 years to see one in the flesh.

Can anyone reach $6 \boldsymbol{2}$ ? Strong Club pairs might, but I can't see anyone playing a natural system getting there. I can see a few playing in the hopeless 67 , though, after a $1 \vee-3 \vee$ start to the auction. So, an interesting challenge to all of you system freaks out there - can you find a way to the only making slam?

Board 11 : Dealer South : Love all

| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |

Another one of these competitive part-score deals with uncertain outcomes. Not that the quiet auction above is at all competitive but some (many?) Easts will stick their oar in with a lead-directional overcall of $2 \Leftrightarrow$ and now things may get interesting.

East-West can make $3 \boldsymbol{2}$, losing a trick in each suit, and North-South can make $3 \downarrow$, amusingly also being able to hold their losses to a trick in each suit. Absolute par is, therefore, for East-West to bid to $4 \boldsymbol{2}$, get doubled and go one down for 100 points to North-South. Will that happen often? Virtually never, I reckon. The most common score will be 110 points to North-South for $2 \wedge$ or $3 \diamond$ making, I predict. We shall see.


| A 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - QJ2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK10632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 32 |  | A J8 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 109874$ |  | $\checkmark 53$ |  |  |  |  |
| - 7 |  | - J9854 |  |  |  |  |
| \&AK765 |  | \& QJ82 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A AKQ10765 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - AK6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Q |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | ake | ble | con | ra |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - | NT |
| 10 | N | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}7 & & 5 \\ & 18\end{array}$ | S | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
|  | E | 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  | W | 2 | - | - | - | - |


| Board 15 : Dealer South : NS vulnerable |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  |  | 20 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4* | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 51 | All Pa |  |

This is another trap hand, straight from the pages from a bidding competition (cf Board 10). This one has thirteen top tricks with two top losers, another common theme amongst 1970s Bidding Challenge setters. Can North-South stay out of a slam after South opens 2e? They ought to be able to, if they can cue-bid their way to the right level. Of course, they may be either helped or hindered by intervention (should West come in with something or other over 2\&? Possibly).

Anyway, in the sequence above, Everything up to $4 \Delta$ is natural, 5 accepts spades as trumps and is a cue-bid, as is $5 \uparrow$. $5 \mathbb{1}$ is a sign-off, denying club control, and South reluctantly Passes. Did anyone slip a slam through, played by North?


| AJ1098 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 954$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＆AQ985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 653 |  | －KQ |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J |  | $\checkmark$ KQ1072 |  |  |  |  |
| －AK107532 |  | －J94 |  |  |  |  |
| \＆） 6 |  | \＆K104 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A742 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A863 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 732 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | ake | able | con | ra |  |
|  |  | ＊ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | NT |
| $9 \begin{array}{lll} & & \\ & 8\end{array}$ | N | 1 | － | － | 1 | － |
|  | S | 1 | － | － | 1 | － |
|  | E | － | 4 | 2 | － | 2 |
|  | W | － | 4 | 2 | － | 2 |


| Board $18:$ | Dealer East ：NS vulnerable |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  | $1 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $3 *$ | All Pass |

Another deal with marginal decisions．Most will open that East hand with 19 and most Wests will respond $2 \diamond$ ，although some will be obliged to respond 1 NT as the hand lacks the values to bid at the two－level．Where West responds 1 NT he may play there and an unfortunate club lead will allow a huge quantity of overtricks， maybe three． 180 will score well for East－West．

Where West responds $2 \downarrow$ ，East has a marginal decision between supporting his partner or rebidding $2 \downarrow$ ．If East does bid $2 \downarrow$ ，West is likely to repeat his diamonds，so $3 *$ is likely to be the final contract anyway．That should score an effortless overtrick for 130.

Did anyone open 1NT on the East cards？If so，West might take a flyer at 3NT， putting South under pressure．A spade lead is needed to stand a chance of beating it；anything else and this audacious contract sails home．

|  | 862 <br> $Q$ <br> $Q$ <br> 865 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ A1094 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark \text { AJ86432 }$ |  | $\checkmark 1095$ |  |  |  |  |
| －J5 |  | － 86 |  |  |  |  |
| $\therefore \mathrm{K}$ |  | \＆J1093 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A1097432 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －Q74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | ake | ble | con | trac |  |
|  |  | － | － | $\bullet$ | $\rightarrow$ | NT |
| 15 | N | 2 | 3 | － | － | 4 |
| ${ }^{12} 8385$ | S | 2 | 3 | － | － | 4 |
|  | E | － | － | 3 | 1 | － |
|  | W | － | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| A K85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －A42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －KJ76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ＊QJ8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A A1063 | A Q |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J1065 |  | $\checkmark$ KQ83 |  |  |  |  |
| －A93 |  | －84 |  |  |  |  |
| \＆ 64 |  | ＊AK10952 |  |  |  |  |
| ค．19742 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 97$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Q1052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＆ 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ＊ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | NT |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 9 & 14 \\ & \end{array}$ | N | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | S | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | E | 5 | － | 5 | 1 | 3 |
|  | W | 5 | － | 5 | 1 | 3 |

Board 19 ：Dealer South ：EW vulnerable

| West | North | East | South <br> 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3ヶ | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Another difficult to predict deal．Most，I suggest，will open $3 \diamond$ on those South cards and most Wests will try $3 \downarrow$ ．Now what，North？If South is promising seven decent diamonds，then 3NT becomes a promising proposition；if South is known to mess about at this vulnerability，and pre－empt on any old piece of cheese，then bidding game is on far dodgier ground．

West can make nine tricks in hearts if he plays off the $\boldsymbol{e} \mathrm{K}$ early and then takes the ruffing finesse through South for the 2 Q ．Thus，some Wests will record 140 in hearts．Some brave Easts may go on to 4『，especially if pushed there by North＇s 3NT bid．If North doubles，he should collect 200 points；whether that＇s a good score or not depends on how many 400s there are for 3NT bid and made．

Incidentally，in 3NT，North should take the heart lead and play the 2 A ．If the N falls he doesn＇t need to overtake the second diamond and play for a 2－2 break．
Board 20 ：Dealer West ：All vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 N T$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \vee$ | All Pass |

Another deal where the auctions are going to be all over the place．If North－South are playing a weak no－trump，North will start proceedings with his favourite toy and that may inconvenience East．In the auction above， $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ is Asptro，showing hearts and another suit．West has a clear－cut bid of $3 \vee$ and East an easy raise to $4 \vee$ ．A good hand for the methods．．．

If，though，you are playing other systems over a weak no－trump（multi－Landy，for example）it may be difficult to locate the 4－4 heart fit．East may bid a natural 3 over 1NT and buy the auction．Some pairs may bid to 3NT，making because of the lucky club layout and because diamonds are 4－4．

Some strong no－trumpers may cause anguish in the Eastern quarter by opening the North hand with 12．Even where $1 *$ is opened it may prove difficult for East－West to reach 4ヶ．

| A J86543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢K1094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Q1072 | A A9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 1062$ | $\checkmark$ KQJ873 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK65 | - QJ3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& 76 | ¢ 85 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AK |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A54 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 10984 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - AQJ32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | Make | ble | con | rac |  |
|  |  | * | - | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | NT |
| 4 | N | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 913 | S | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 14 | E | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |
|  | W | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ A832 } \\ & \vee \mathrm{J} 1074 \\ & \text { \& } 4 \\ & \& 10874 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A K97654 | A None |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A2 | $\checkmark$ Q |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 3 | - AKJ1098762 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \&AKQ5 |  |  | J3 |  |  |  |
| A QJ10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K98653 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \&96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{lll}  & 5 & \\ 16 & & 11 \\ & 8 & \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\cdots$ | - | $\bullet$ | $\rightarrow$ | NT |
|  | N | - | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | S | - | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | E | 4 | 7 | - | 3 | 4 |
|  | W | 4 | 7 | - | 3 | 6 |
| ه 986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& Q76542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A KQ103 | A A4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q108 | $\checkmark$ K765 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A83 | -K107654 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& KJ10 | $\because \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A J 752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | - | $\bullet$ | $\rightarrow$ | NT |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 15 & & 14 \\ & 3 & \end{array}$ | N | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | S | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | E | 3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
|  | W | 3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |

Board 22 : Dealer East : EW vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 5 | Pass |
| $6 \leqslant$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

What's your experience on nine-card suits? Mine is pretty limited and I expect that goes for most players. If East opens with a practical shot of $5 \downarrow$, vulnerable, West may as well take a shot at a slam. With East having a void spade, a grand slam makes but I don't see a practical way of reaching it.

Please note that no one should open that East hand with a strong two or a Benjaminised 2e. I believe that is not allowed these days if the bid is described as "strong" when the hand only contains 11 points.

Match-point fiends may just take a pot at 6NT on those West cards and get themselves a top score, but that really is a punt.

| Board 23 <br> West | Dealer South : All vulnerable <br> North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \vee$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

The last hand provided an excellent grand slam. Here, $6 \leqslant$ makes for East-West, but is a much shakier contract. With the $\vee$ A off the hand it all boils down to bringing in the diamonds for no loser, so relies on a 2-2 break (or on South having a singleton honour). $6 \leqslant$ (or 6NT for that matter) are no things of beauty and are probably not worth being in at match-point pairs.

After West shows a balanced 15+, East can show his power with a 34 responder's reverse. When West retreats to 3 NT , East can read his partner for soft values and wasted club values, so is advised to Pass. Quite how many pairs will bid on to a slam is difficult to say.

| － 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －A54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －K96432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＆ 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A K1092 | －Q7653 |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Q97 | $\checkmark 63$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| －J8 <br> 』A1098 | －10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \＆QJ754 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A AJ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ1082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －AQ75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＆K2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc} & 7 & \\ 10 & & 5 \\ & 18 & \end{array}\right.$ |  | － | － | $\checkmark$ | A | NT |
|  | N | － | 5 | 5 | － | 2 |
|  | S | － | 6 | 6 | － | 6 |
|  | E | 3 | － | － | 3 | － |
|  | W | 3 | － | － | 3 | － |


| AJ103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ AQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － 872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＆AK653 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A K76 |  | － 94 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 8543$ |  | $\checkmark 92$ |  |  |  |  |
| －KQ96 |  | －A1053 |  |  |  |  |
| － 102 |  | \＆QJ987 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A AQ852 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ1076 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －J4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ＊ 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP |  | Makea | ble | con | ra |  |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\wedge$ | N |
| 14 | N | 1 | － | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 \\ & 11\end{array}$ | $7 \quad$\begin{tabular}{l\|l|l}
\hline
\end{tabular} | 1 | － | 4 | 4 | 2 |
|  | E | － | 1 | － | － | － |
|  | W | － | 1 | － | － | － |
|  | A A98 | 753 |  |  |  |  |

Board 25 ：Dealer North ：EW vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1NT | Pass | 2『＊ |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $3{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

This looks，at first glance，to be an innocuous deal．North－South can make 4a，losing two diamonds and a trump for an easy ten tricks and a score of 420．However，the choice of declarer may have a profound effect on the outcome．If North opens a weak no－trump it is almost certain that he becomes declarer，probably after a transfer sequence similar to the one above．East has no reason to lead a diamond， so a loser in the suit can get dumped on a top club and eleven tricks made．

If North，maybe playing a strong no－trump，opens then South will surely end up as declarer．West now has a much clearer diamond lead，allowing the defence to grab the first two diamond tricks and holding declarer to ten tricks．A prediction： 450 will be well above average and 420 well below average．

Board 26 ：Dealer East ：All vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $2 \vee$ | 24． |
| 3 | 4＊＊ | Pass | 48 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Another hand，another slam．This one is for North－South and，as a straight 50－50 proposition，it is a better prospect than Board 23，which was below $50 \%$ ．Mind you， do you want to be in a slam on a finesse？This one depends simply on East holding the 2 Q and nothing else，but at match－points it is generally wise to steer clear of such contracts．

In practice，I can＇t see many players reaching 6a．East may well open $2 \mathbf{v}$ ；South has a clear 2asercall and West might support hearts or he might choose to show his diamonds．North is probably going to bid $4 V$ anyway to show heart shortage and a spade fit，but is South going to be that interested？The 『K Q are clearly wasted values and that South hand has no aces．I＇m predicted a raft of scores of 680 to North－South．Am I right？We＇ll see．


| A QJ7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AJ1065 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Q932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A A1086 <br> $\checkmark$ None <br> -KQ872 <br> \&KJ84 | - K2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK108753 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -94 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& 76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ه 9543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - QJ642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& A105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCP | Makeable contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|lll}  & 10 & \\ 13 & & 10 \\ & 7 & \end{array}$ |  | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\wedge$ | NT |
|  | N | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | S | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | E | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | W | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |



| Board 30 : Dealer East : Love all |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 39 | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

A horrible misfitting hand with ghastly breaks. With hearts 5-1 and a diamond ruff looming, eight tricks is the limit of the hand in hearts for East-West, and no other strain offers the prospects of a plus score. Is West allowed to Pass $3 \vee$ in the auction above or is $2 \Delta$ unconditionally forcing to game? In old-fashioned methods anyone who bid as East does here was attempting to sign off and $3 \varphi$ was certainly Passable. Nowadays I'm not so sure. Anyone bidding on beyond $3 \vee$ ( $3 N T$ ? 4 4 ) is simply increasing the penalty and there may be doubles flying around.

Predictions? No plus scores for East-West on this one with -50 being way above average. We shall see.

| Board 31 : Dealer South : NS vulnerable |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  |  | 29 |
| Pass | 4 | 4NT | Pass |
| 59 | 5 | Dble | All Pass |

These weird hands just keep rolling off the computer... At least the play on this one will be easy enough in either 5\%, should East-West secure the declaration in that spot, or in hearts, where East-West have three top tricks and nothing more. What about the bidding? Well, technically, you shouldn't open a $0 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 2$ hand with a weak two, but that won't stop most South players from doing so, and that allows North to blast $4 \vee$. East's $4 N T$ is a clear-cut "Pick a minor" message and East-West arrive in their optimum spot. Despite the vulnerability, it pays North to go to the well again with $5 \%$.

What if South Passes as dealer? West might open $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ and East might raise that to $4 \boldsymbol{A}$. To beat it, North has to cash a heart, then switch to a club and then duck the $₫ \mathrm{~K}$. If he fails to do precisely this, 4a will sneak home.

Board 32 : Dealer West : EW vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1NT |

Pass Pass Pass
This is how this set shall end, not with a bang, but with a whimper. Those playing a weak no-trump will bid as above and play there. A heart lead from West will give the defenders three hearts, a diamond and a spade allowing South to make eight tricks for a score of 120 points.

Those playing a strong no-trump may score well here because they may well locate their 4-4 spade fit. In spades, the fortunate disposition of three key cards (the two red aces and the K ) means that ten tricks are possible. $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ is an absurd proposition, but you don't have to bid these ridiculous games to score well at match-point pairs. Simply playing in the right strain and raking in the maximum number of tricks will rack up a good score. Anyone who records 170 points on the North-South cards is booked for an excellent match-point score.

